

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Comparative study of physical fitness parameters between basketball players and sprinters

Vandana Daulatabad¹, Prafull Kamble², Akshay Berad³, Nilesh Kate⁴

¹Department of Physiology, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Solapur, Maharashtra, India, ²Department of Physiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bibinagar, Telangana, India, ³Department of Physiology, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Adilabad, Telangana, India, ⁴Department of Physiology, ESIC Medical College, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

Correspondence to: Prafull Kamble, E-mail: morpheus11177@yahoo.com

Received: May 10, 2020; Accepted: June 18, 2020

ABSTRACT

Background: Successful game of basketball and sprinting needs the ability of the players to generate good speed and tremendous power during the play of the game. These short sprints in the game need the player to be replete with strength and endurance as of an athlete. Studies on anthropometric characteristics between basketball players and sprinters have been far less reported or studied. Hence, our study aimed to compare physical fitness parameters between basketball players and sprinters.

Aims and Objectives: The present study was undertaken to assess, analyses, and compare physical fitness parameters such as anthropometric measurements such as body composition, strength, and endurance between basketball players and sprinters.


Materials and Methods: Thirty (each) basketball players and sprinters from different clubs were selected for this study. The age of these selected players ranged from 16 to 20 years. The study commenced after obtaining the approval and written informed consent from participants. Parameters such as height, weight, arm span, upper segment, lower segment, body-composition, strength using bench-press and bench squat (1 RM method), leg and back dynamometry, and endurance using push-up, and sit-up scores were assessed. **Results:** Significant lower value for height, weight, arm span, and upper body segments is seen in sprinters. Lean body mass shows a highly significant value when compared between basketball players and sprinters. Upper body strength and endurance were more in basketball players, while lower body strength and endurance were significantly more in sprinters. **Discussion:** Our study revealed basketball players to be taller, heavier than sprinters, and this can be attributed to having more lean body mass, stronger upper body, and having more upper body endurance, while sprinters had better lower limb strength and endurance than basketball players. **Conclusion:** Sports researchers should be encouraged so that studies can be done to gather data, analyze, and train players in a more scientific manner.

KEY WORDS: Fitness Parameters; Basketball Players; Sprinters

INTRODUCTION

Sports games have stimulated players throughout the world to perfect their bodies in relation to anthropometric parameters,

symmetry, strength and endurance, and many other parameters. Sports competitions are organized at various levels since ancient times. Today glamour and competition of sports have attained the highest level. However, the contribution of Indian sporting population is still scarce as the scientific community has very recently started contributing toward the development of sportspersons.^[1] The success achieved by Indian sportsmen at various competitions is not at par with international standards, and this is of great concern to the coaches, sports doctors, and researchers.^[2] Basketball and athletic sprint events being widespread and

Access this article online	
Website: www.njppp.com	Quick Response code 
DOI: 10.5455/njppp.2020.10.05117202018062020	

National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology Online 2020. © 2020 Prafull Kamble, *et al.* This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

most popular games, it demands not only physical fitness but also technical, mental, and tactical abilities as well for the great success of players.

In basketball games, most important skills are dribbling, passing, and shooting.^[3] These skills must be enriched with ideal anthropometric parameters, body composition, strength, endurance, and ability of the players to generate good speed and tremendous power to attain success in basketball games and sprinting. These physical and physiological parameters and skills help basketball players to engage themselves in the game by use of short sprints across the court during any game. These shorter sprints need the player to be replete with strength and endurance as of an athlete. Studies on anthropometric characteristics between basketball players and sprinters have been far less reported or studied. Hence, our study aimed to compare physical fitness parameters between basketball players and sprinters.

Objectives

Our study was undertaken to assess, analyses, and compare physical fitness parameters such as anthropometric measurements such as body composition, strength, and endurance between basketball players and sprinters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty basketball players and thirty sprinters ($n = 60$) aged between 16 and 20 years and playing at various levels in Solapur were selected for our study. Various physical fitness parameters were assessed in Exercise and Sports Physiology Lab, Department of Physiology, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Solapur. Ethical committee clearance was obtained before the study and written informed consent for participation was also obtained from each player. Physical and physiological parameters such as height, weight, upper segment, lower segment, arm span, body-composition, strength, and endurance were assessed.

Anthropometric Measurements

A lever system balance machine measured weight (kg) with minimum clothes.^[4] A scale mounted on a wall was used to measure the standing height (cm) in a barefooted subject.^[4] Arm span (cm) was measured as the distance between the tips of middle fingers of both the hands when horizontally abducted and maximally outstretched, with the subject standing back to the wall where two standard measuring tapes were fixed on a wall perpendicular to each other.^[4] Lower segment (cm): The measurement from greater trochanter to the floor in a standing position with standard measuring tape was taken.^[4] Upper segment (cm): The difference between total height and the lower segment was calculated as the upper segment.^[4]

Body Composition

Skin fold caliper was used to measure the skin fold thickness (mm) at standard sites. As the tester's pinch includes the fat obtained in between the double thickness of the skin and excludes the muscle it is measure of subcutaneous fat. Percentage of body fat was calculated using 'Fat-o-measure' (Skin fold caliper).^[5] The fat mass (kg) was calculated from the total body weight (kg) and the lean body mass (kg) was estimated by subtracting the fat mass from the total body weight. Fat mass = Body weight \times (% body fat/100).

Strength

Bench press test (1RM)

Dynamic muscular strength was measured as the weight lifted in one repetition (1RM method) on a multi-station resistance machine. After a successful lift, the weight was increased gradually until the maximum weight was lifted. The individual rested for 2–3 min in between attempts. The relative muscular strength was calculated by dividing the 1RM score by the body weight of the individual.^[4]

Bench squat test (1RM)

The bar was placed on the shoulders (behind the neck) of the player after adjusting the desired amount of weight by the assistants. The player first lowered to an erect sitting position on the bench with the feet at a comfortable distance apart, and a firm grasps of the hands-on the bar and then returned to a standing position without rocking back and forth. After that, weight was added by the assistants for second trial.^[4] The score was divided by his own body weight and was graded according to raw score norms for bench squat test.^[4]

Static Strength was assessed by leg and back dynamometer

Leg dynamometry

The player stood on the dynamometer, in such a way that the bar attached to the spring lied just above the knees and perpendicular to the horizontal line from both the knees. The player with bent knees pushes himself up from the standing position by making his knees straight till his knees were fully extended.^[6]

Back dynamometry

Static strength was recorded from the dynamometer readings when the player was asked to pull the bar of the dynamometer, from the spring as much far as possible with his knees straight.^[6]

Endurance

Push-up test assessed endurance of shoulder group of muscles and bent knee sit ups assessed endurance of abdominal muscles.^[4]

Descriptive statistics such mean, standard deviation were estimated. *t*-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used. $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant. Data were analyzed software SPSS v20.0.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows highly significant difference between two groups for height and weight. Arm span and upper body segments were statistically significant between two groups, whereas the difference in lower segments was not significant. Only lean body mass was a statistically significant when compared between basketball players and sprinters [Table 2]. Bench press, bench squat, and leg dynamometry were statistically significant between two groups, whereas there was no substantial difference in back dynamometry [Table 3]. Endurance was highly significant for the lower body while significant in the upper body between these two groups [Table 4].

DISCUSSION

Our study revealed basketball players to be taller, heavier than sprinters, and this can be attributed to having more lean body mass, stronger upper body, and having more

upper body endurance, while sprinters had better lower limb strength and endurance than basketball players. Several studies on basketball players individually are reported in the literature, while some of the literature shows studies of different parameters in among football, and volleyball players. Basketball players belong to advanced intermediate grades of raw scale norms^[4] for bench press while sprinters belong to beginner grade. Strength for lower-limbs assessed by bench squat in basketball players belonged to beginner grad, whereas sprinters belonged to advanced intermediate raw scale norms^[4], according to Johnson Nelson.

In accordance with our study, basketball players and volleyball were also found to be tall than other players by Rahmawati *et al.*^[7] and Kansal *et al.*^[8] also found that taller players perform well because of greater height as basketball and volleyball require handling the ball above the head. Studies on Malaysian male athletes by Nudri *et al.*^[9] and Turkish male athletes by Pelin *et al.*^[10] reported that the height of basketball players was greater than the players of other sports groups. According to Sodhi and Siddhu,^[11] a standard reference of Indian athlete is 170.3 cm and Olympic athletes is 176.4 cm, the average weight of Indian athlete is 60.2 kg and that of Olympic athletes is 70.8 kg and the average percent fat of Indian athletes is 11.7%. Our players

Table 1: Anthropometric measurements

Variables	Basketball player	Sprinters	P-value	Significance
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Height (cm)	175.6±6.3	168.9±6.4	0.0001	HS
Weight (kg)	60.9±9.9	53.1±6.8	0.0001	HS
Arm span (cm)	90.1±4.1	87.06±4.8	0.01	S
Upper segment (cm)	72.1±8.4	65.5±7.2	0.01	S
Lower segment (cm)	103.6±4.5	103.4±3.7	0.8	NS

HS: Highly significant, S: Significant, NS: Not significant. SD: Standard deviation

Table 2: Body composition

Variables	Basketball player	Sprinters	P-value	Significance
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Percent fat	12.5±3.2	11.6±3.9	0.3	NS
Fat mass (kg)	7.8±3.1	6.3±2.8	0.06	NS
Lean body mass (kg)	53.1±7.5	46.8±5.5	0.0001	HS

HS: Highly significant, NS: Not significant. SD: Standard deviation

Table 3: Strength

Variables	Basketball player	Sprinters	P-value	Significance
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Bench press (Relative)	0.77±0.2	0.54±0.1	0.0001	HS
Bench squat (Relative)	0.95±0.2	1.14±0.3	0.01	S
Leg dynamometry (kg)	112.6±29.6	118±27.8	0.01	S
Back dynamometry (kg)	106±28.5	107.8±25.9	0.7	NS

HS: Highly significant, S: Significant, NS: Not significant. SD: Standard deviation

Table 4: Endurance

Variables	Basketball player	Sprinters	P-value	Significance
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Push-ups (no.)	27±3.7	23.7±5.7	0.01	S
Sit-ups (no.)	29.2±6.6	47.3±11.1	0.0001	HS

HS: Highly significant, S: Significant, NS: Not significant. SD: Standard deviation

are far behind the standard references for these parameters can be used as selection criteria and train them to improvise these parameters. According Wilmore and Costill,^[12] the average percent fat of basketball and volleyball players should be within the range of 6–15% which is in accordance to our study. Another study done by Kariyawasam^[13] on Sri Lankan basketball and football team found that probably due to deficiencies in player training program their players receive relatively less recognition and achievements in the international arena. Similar study was done by Prafull *et al.*,^[2] where height, dynamic strength, muscle endurance, and flexibility and power of legs in basketball players were highly significant than controls. Another study by Kamble and Vandana^[1] revealed that height, weight, and lean body mass along with strength, endurance is significantly more in sprinters than controls.

Accomplishments as squad need physical fitness along with accurate motor skills, tactical qualities, playing panache, not only as individuals but also as well as teams need inspiration.^[14] Physical appropriateness thus is the most important element.^[15] Physical, physiological, and psychological features of a player do make a player successful. Physical and physiological parameters help basketball players to engage themselves in the game by use of short sprints across the court during any game. These shorter sprints need the player to be complete with strength and endurance as of an athlete.

Strength and Limitations

As there are studies done on individual games, there is void of literature comparing two different sports, wherein one of the important aspects of these games are compared to find any correlation between them. These results then can be analyzed and utilized for specific approach toward training these players according to their proficiencies. More parameters such as aerobic capacity, flexibility, and agility should also be evaluated, and analysis should be done. This would give a new insight in between the two different games.

CONCLUSION

Indian basketball players and sprinters get comparatively less acknowledgment internationally, possibly due to lacunae's in

their many aspects, one of them being training schedules. Our study observed significant variances in the anthropometric features of sprinters and basketball players. Herein, basketball players were taller, heavier with more lean body mass than sprinters, but the experimental group was far-off behind the international standards.

Equally important is the fact that the weight of a player has to be more attributing to more lean body mass and not just fat mass or fat percent. Greater fat content and lower fat-free mass among players interfere in their routine. This can be ascribed to lower levels of intensity training, lower levels of physical fitness routines. Diet does play an important factor for greater fat content and lower FFM among the Indian players. Body composition and strength training form an important aspect in all players; hence, there is a need to improve the training program, which would enhance players' performance. Hence, some of these parameters should be utilized as a part of selection procedures. How to improve performance and how train them and select players are the primary concern of coaches and researchers.

Sports researchers should be encouraged so that studies can be done to gather data, analyze and train players in a more scientific manner. Games and physical activity schedule should be compulsory at various educational stages. Talent searches should be initiated at university and states. This development of strategies would help capturing the talents at very young age and then can be trained which would help improve players performance and reach a particular level.

REFERENCES

1. Daulatabad VS, Prafull K, Baji PS. A comparative study between physical and physiological parameters in athletes and age matched controls Int J Biol Med Res 2013;4:2686-91.
2. Kamble P, Vandana D. Study of anthropological parameters, body composition, strength and endurance in basketball players. Int J Biol Med Res 2012;3:1404-6.
3. Thani Y. Teaching and Coaching Basketball. New Delhi: Sports Publication; 1997.
4. Johnson BL, Nelson JK. Practical Measurements for Evaluation in Physical Education. 3rd ed. New York: Surjeet Publication; 1988.
5. Choudhari SB. E-Sports Mdicine, Fat-O-Measure Kit, Self-Help, Obesity Management Kit, Sept; 2005.p.6-7,13.
6. Manual of Instruments. Pune: Anand Agencies. 2005; p. 23-5.
7. Rahmawati NT, Budiharjo S, Ashizawa K. Somatotypes of young male athletes and non-athlete students in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Anthropol Sci 2007;115:1-7.
8. Kansal DK, Sidhu LS, Sukdeva N, Walia K. Physical status and athletic performance of school boys during adolescent age. In: Modern Perspective in Physical Education and Sports Science. New Delhi: Harman Publications; 1986. p. 65-76.
9. Nudri W, Ismail MN, Zawiah H. Anthropometric measurements and body composition of selected national athletes. Mal J Nutr 1996;2:138-47.
10. Pelin C, Kurkcuglu A, Ozener B, Yazici AC. Anthropometric

- characteristics of young Turkish male athletes. *Coll Antropol* 2009;33:1057-63.
11. Sodhi HS, Sidhu LS. *Physique and Selection of Sportsmen: Kinanthropometric Study*. Patiala: Punjab Publishing House; 1984.
 12. Wilmore JH, Costill DL. *Physiology of Sports and Exercise*. 2nd ed. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1999. p. 490-507.
 13. Kariyawasam A. Comparative study on skill and health related physical fitness characteristics between national basketball and football players in Sri Lanka. *BMC Res Notes* 2019;12:397.
 14. Kumar A, Kumar AC. A comparative study of endurance and agility between rural and urban male basketball players. *Int J Phy Educ Sports Health* 2014;1:25-7.
 15. Karthi SR, Krishnakanthan DS. Comparative analysis of selected physical variables among football hockey and basketball players. *Ind J Res* 2012;3:57-158.

How to cite this article: Daulatabad V, Kamble P, Berad A, Kate N. Comparative study of physical fitness parameters between basketball players and sprinters. *Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol* 2020;10(10):829-833.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflicts of Interest:** None declared.